1	KRISTEN L. BOYLES (CSBA #158450) JAN E. HASSELMAN (WSBA # 29107)	
2	[Admitted Pro Hac Vice] EARTHJUSTICE	
3	810 Third Avenue, Suite 610	
4	Seattle, WA 98104	
4	(206) 343-7340 kboyles@earthjustice.org	
5	jhasselman@earthjustice.org	
6 7	SUSAN JANE M. BROWN (OSBA #054607) [Admitted Pro Hac Vice] SILVIX RESOURCES	
8	4107 N.E. Couch St. Portland, OR 97232	
	(503) 680-5513	
9	sjb@silvex.org	
10	GREGORY C. LOARIE (CSBA #215859) EARTHJUSTICE	
11	50 California Street, Suite 500	
12	San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 217-2000	
	gloarie@earthjustice.org	
13	August Com Plaint Com	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
16		LISCO DIVISION
17	ALASKA COMMUNITY ACTION ON	Case No. 3:20-cv-5199-RS
17	TOXICS, et al.,	Related Case: No. 3:20-cv-6057-RS
18	Plaintiffs,	
19	v.	NOTICE OF DISMISSAL; PROPOSED ORDER
1)	COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL	ORDER
20	QUALITY, et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25	NOTICE OF DISMISSAL AND PROPOSED ORDER	
۷3	No. 3:20-cv-05199-RS	
26		-1-

2
 3

4

6

5

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

1617

18

1920

21

22

23

2425

26

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL AND PROPOSED ORDER No. 3:20-cv-05199-RS

Environmental Quality's ("CEQ") July 16, 2020, rulemaking entitled Update to the Regulations Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, 85 Fed. Reg. 43,304 (July 16, 2020) (the "2020 Rule"). ECF No. 1.

WHEREAS: On August 29, 2020, Plaintiffs filed this suit to challenge Council on

WHEREAS: On November 5, 2020, the Court granted American Farm Bureau Federation et al.'s motion to intervene as Defendants in this matter.

WHEREAS: This case was stayed by the Court on February 12, 2021, to accommodate Defendant CEQ's review of the 2020 Rule pursuant to Executive Order 13990: 'Protecting Public Health and the Environment and Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis.' 86 Fed. Reg. 7,037 (Jan. 25, 2021). ECF No. 49.

WHEREAS: Through a series of Court Orders, the stay of this case has been extended eleven times until April 4, 2024 to accommodate the following rulemakings by CEQ revising National Environmental Policy Act's ("NEPA") implementing regulations: the Final Interim Rule, 86 Fed. Reg. 34,154 (June 29, 2021); the National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Regulations Revisions, 87 Fed. Reg. 23,453 (April 20, 2022); and the Bipartisan Permitting Reform Implementation Rule, 85 Fed. Reg. 35,4432, 35,448 (May 1, 2024) (the "2024 Rule"). ECF Nos. 51, 55, 60, 63, 65, 68, 70, 74, 76, and 79.

WHEREAS: Following the promulgation of the 2024 Rule on May 1, 2024, the Parties agreed to request a further stay until September 9, 2024, to allow Plaintiffs to review and analyze the 2024 Rule and its impact on Plaintiffs' challenge. ECF No. 80.

WHEREAS: Upon expiration of the stay, Plaintiffs asked that the Court further extend the stay in the Parties' joint status report filed as ECF No. 127 in the related matter *California v*. *Council on Environmental Quality*, No. 20-6057 on September 19, 2024. *See also* ECF No. 84.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

State Plaintiffs argued that the related case is not moot, this Court retains jurisdiction and can enter a stay, and an extension of the stay is warranted to accommodate a forthcoming decision in a case filed in the District Court of North Dakota challenging the 2024 Rule and seeking its vacatur and reinstatement of the 2020 Rule, which is challenged in this litigation. No. 20-6057, ECF No. 127.

WHEREAS: In the joint status report, Federal Defendants argued that this case is moot and an extension of the stay is not warranted. No. 20-6057, ECF No. 127.

WHEREAS: At a Status Conference held before this Court on September 26, 2024, Plaintiffs argued for a further extension of the stay and Federal Defendants argued against a further stay.

WHEREAS: Upon conclusion of the Status Conference, having heard arguments from all Parties, this Court stated it would dismiss the case as moot and directed the parties to file a stipulation to that effect. ECF No. 86.

WHEREAS: Plaintiffs respectfully disagree with this Court's assessment that this case is moot and should be dismissed.

WHEREAS: Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants were not able to reach agreement on language for a stipulated dismissal.

ACCORDINGLY, Plaintiffs hereby dismiss this action without prejudice at the direction of the Court.

DATED this 29th day of October, 2024.

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL AND PROPOSED ORDER No. 3:20-cv-05199-RS

1 Respectfully submitted, 2 s/Jan E. Hasselman JAN E. HASSELMAN (WSBA # 29017) 3 KRISTEN L. BOYLES (CSBA # 158450) [Admitted Pro Hac Vice] 4 EARTHJUSTICE 810 Third Avenue, Suite 610 5 Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 343-7340 6 kboyles@earthjustice.org jhasselman@earthjustice.org 7 SUSAN JANE M. BROWN (OSBA # 054607) 8 [Admitted Pro Hac Vice] SILVIX RESOURCES 9 4107 N.E. Couch St. Portland, OR 97232 10 (503) 680-5513 sjb@silvex.org 11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 12 GREGORY C. LOARIE (CSBA # 215859) 13 EARTHJUSTICE 50 California Street, Suite 500 14 San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 217-2000 15 gloarie@earthjustice.org 16 Local Counsel for Plaintiffs 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 NOTICE OF DISMISSAL AND PROPOSED ORDER 25 No. 3:20-cv-05199-RS

- 4 -

26

RICHARD SEEBORG
Chief United States District Judge

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL AND PROPOSED ORDER No. 3:20-cv-05199-RS

No. 3:20-ev-05199-RS